

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

05/20/2004	Lucien Y. Bronicki	15162X	6015
11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
CIATES		NGUYEN, I	HOANG M
eet ·		ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
22314			
	11/14/2006 CIATES	11/14/2006 CIATES eet ·	11/14/2006 EXAM CIATES NGUYEN, I

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/849,502	BRONICKI, LUCIEN Y.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hoang M. Nguyen	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Oc	ctober 2006				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 21-34 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-13-2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 3748

Applicant's amendment dated October 13, 2006, has been fully considered.

Applicant has amended the claims to include a new limitation "only 5-15%" and argued the applied reference does not teach the claimed invention. The Examiner agrees. However, a new ground of rejection has been made based on another reference already of record, US 5497624 (Amir et al).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-24, 27-31, 34, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5497624 (Amir et al).

Amir et al discloses a hybrid electric power generating system comprising a primary power converter (steam turbine 15 in figure 1A, or 60A in figure 2), the exhaust heat of the steam engine 15 is used to drive 3 different closed organic Rankine cycles through passages 19a, 19b, 19c, and valves 22a, 22b, 22c. Please note because the waste heat of the steam turbine 15 is less than the main heat source, the power produced by the three Rankine cycles must be less than the steam turbine.

Furthermore, in columns 2-4, Amir et al discloses specifically that the amount of exhaust steam to each Rankine cycle can be controlled. Therefore, the amount of power output

Art Unit: 3748

of each Rankine cycles can be controlled, and it's clear that each Rankine cycle is capable of contributing only 5%-15% of the primary converter.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-26, 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 5497624 (Amir et al). Amir et al discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above, but does not disclose different types of first power plant. However, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to select different types of first power plant in Amir et al to provide waste heat to the second power plant for the purpose of achieving appropriate amount of heat. (note the Van Dine reference if Applicant needs specific teaching for the molten carbonate fuel cell).

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 11/10/2006